arXiv:1703.00124v1 [math.GR] 1 Mar 2017

MSC 20G40, 20D60, 20D06

Intersections of conjugate solvable subgroups in classical groups of Lie type

A.A. Baykalov

March 2, 2017

1 Introduction

Definition 1. Assume that a finite group G acts on a set Ω. An element $x \in \Omega$ is called a G-**regular point** if $|xG| = |G|$, i.e. if the stabilizer of x is trivial. Define the action of the group G on Ω^k by

$$g : (i_1, \dots, i_k) \mapsto (i_1 g, \dots, i_k g).$$

If G acts faithfully and transitively on Ω then the minimal number k such that the set Ω^k contains a G-regular point is called the **base size** of G and is denoted by $b(G)$. For a positive integer m denote the number of G-regular orbits on Ω^m by $Reg(G, m)$ (this number equals 0 if $m < b(G)$). If H is a subgroup of G and G acts by the right multiplication on the set Ω of right cosets of H then G/H_G acts faithfully and transitively on the set Ω. (Here $H_G = \cap_{g \in G} H^g$.) In this case, we denote $b(G/H_G)$ and $Reg(G/H_G, m)$ by $b_H(G)$ and $Reg_H(G, m)$ respectively.

In this work we consider Problem 17.41 from "Kourovka notebook" [9]:

Problem 2. *Let H be a solvable subgroup of finite group G and G does not contain nontrivial normal solvable subgroups. Are there always exist five subgroups conjugated with H such that their intersection is trivial?*

Let A and B be subgroups of G such that $B \trianglelefteq A$. Then $N_G(A/B) := N_G(A) \cap N_G(B)$ is the **normalizer** of A/B in G. If $x \in N_G(A/B)$, then x induces an automorphism of A/B by $Ba \mapsto Bx^{-1}ax$. Thus there exists a homomorphism $N_G(A/B) \to Aut(A/B)$. The image of $N_G(A/B)$ under this homomorphism is denoted by $Aut_G(A/B)$ and is called a **group of G-induced automorphisms of** A/B.
The problem is reduced to the case when G is almost simple in [11]:

Theorem 3. *Let G be a group and let*

$$\{e\} = G_0 < G_1 < G_2 < \ldots < G_n = G \text{ —}$$

be a composition series of G that is a refinement of a chief series. Assume that for some k the following condition holds: If G_i/G_{i-1} is nonabelian, then for every solvable subgroup T of $Aut_G(G_i/G_{i-1})$ we have

$$b_T(Aut_G(G_i/G_{i-1})) \leq k \text{ and } Reg_T(Aut_G(G_i/G_{i-1}), k) \geq 5.$$

Then, for every maximal solvable subgroup H of G we have $b_H(G) \leq k$.

In [1] the author prove the inequality $Reg_H(G,5) \geq 5$ is proved for almost simple groups with socle G_0 isomorphic to alternating group A_n, $n \geq 5$.
Fix a prime number p and $q = p^f$, where f is a positive integer. Denote a finite field with q elements by F_q and its multiplicative group by $F_q^\times$. Denote by $GL_n^\epsilon(q)$ groups $GL_n(q)$ and $GU_n(q) \leq GL_n(q^2)$ for ϵ equal to + and − respectively. Groups $SL_n^\epsilon(q), PGL_n^\epsilon(q)$ and $PSL_n^\epsilon(q)$ are defined in the same way.
For the classical almost simple groups of Lie type T. Burness has proved the following

Theorem 4. [7, Theorem 1.1] *Let G be a finite almost simple classical group in a faithful primitive non- standard action. Then either $b(G) \leq 4$, or $G = U_6(2) \cdot 2$, $H = U_4(3) \cdot 2^2$ and $b(G) = 5$.*

The definition of non-standard action is given in [7, Definition 1.1]. If the simple socle of an almost simple group G is isomorphic to $PSL_n^\epsilon(q)$, then an action is non-standard if the point stabilizer in $PSL_n^\epsilon(q)$ is not parabolic, i.e. does not lie in Aschbacher's class $\mathscr{C}_1$.
If a solvable subgroup of G lies in a maximal subgroup M and the action of G on G/M is non-standard, then using Theorem 4 we obtain that $Reg_H(G,5) \geq 5$. Therefore, it is necessary to study the case when solvable subgroup lies in a maximal subgroup M such that the action of G on G/M is standard. In the present paper we consider the case when a solvable subgroup H lies in a maximal subgroup of $GL_n^\epsilon(q)$ from the Aschbacher's class $\mathscr{C}_1$ and either H is completely reducible or H contains a Sylow p-subgroup, where p is the characteristic of the field F_q.
In the first case we consider groups $\mathrm{Sin}_n^\epsilon(q)$ which are cyclic subgroups of $GL_n^\epsilon(q)$ of order $q^n - (\epsilon 1)^n$. In case $\epsilon = +$ the subgroup $\mathrm{Sin}_n(q) = \mathrm{Sin}_n^+(q)$ is a well known Singer cycle, so we will call the $\mathrm{Sin}_n^\epsilon(q)$ Singer cycle in general case. The subgroup $\mathrm{Sin}_n^\epsilon(q)$ is a maximal torus of $GL_n^\epsilon(q)$ (see [2, G] for the definition) and $N_{GL_n^\epsilon(q)}(\mathrm{Sin}_n^\epsilon(q))/\mathrm{Sin}_n^\epsilon(q)$ is a cyclic group of order n [10, Lemma 2.7].
Also the well known fact is that $\mathrm{Sin}_n^+(q) \cup \{0\}$ is isomorphic to a finite field F_{q^n}, so the set $\mathrm{Sin}_n^+(q) \cup 0$ is closed under addition and multiplication. If n is odd then $\mathrm{Sin}_n^-(q)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Sin}_n^+(q^2)$ and if n is even then $\mathrm{Sin}_n^-(q)$ is a subdirect product of $\mathrm{Sin}_{n/2}^+(q^2) \times \mathrm{Sin}_{n/2}^+(q^2)$ and lies in an algebra which is isomorphic to $F_{q^n} \times F_{q^n}$. Moreover, if the Hermitian form corresponding to the unitary group has the form $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & E \\ E & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ then $\mathrm{Sin}_n^-(q)$ is conjugate to the group consisting of matrices of the form $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{A^{-1}}^T \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, where $A \in \mathrm{Sin}_{n/2}^+(q^2)$, $A = (a_{ij})$, $\overline{A} = (\overline{a_{ij}})$ and $\overline{\ }$ is the field automorphism of F_{q^2} of order 2. The normalizer $N_{GL_n^\epsilon(q)}(\mathrm{Sin}_n^\epsilon(q))$ is equal to $\mathrm{Sin}_n^\epsilon(q) \rtimes \langle\varphi\rangle$, where $\varphi : g \mapsto g^q$ if $\epsilon = +$, $\varphi : g \mapsto g^{-q}$ if $\epsilon = -$ and n is even; $\varphi : g \mapsto g^{q^2}$ if $\epsilon = -$ and n is odd.
The main result in this case is the following theorem:

Theorem 5. *Let p be prime and $q = p^t$. Let G be isomorphic to $GL_n^\epsilon(q)$ and H be a subgroup of G such that H is block diagonal with blocks isomorphic to $Sin_i^\epsilon(q) \rtimes \langle \varphi_{n_i} \rangle$, where $i = 1, \ldots, k$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k n_i = n$. Then $b_H(G) \leq 4$.*

In the second case either H is contained in Borel subgroup and by [12, Lemma 8] we have $b_H(G) \leq 4$, or the following case is realized:

Theorem 6. *Let $G = GL_n(q) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$, where $q = 2$ or $q = 3$, n is even, τ is an automorphism which acts by $\tau : A \mapsto (A^{-1})^T$ for $A \in GL_n(q)$. Let H be the normalizer in G of $P \leq GL_n(q)$, where P is the stabilizer of the chain of subspaces:*

$$\langle v_n, v_{n-1} \rangle < \langle v_n, v_{n-1}, v_{n-2}, v_{n-3} \rangle < \ldots < \langle v_n, v_{n-1}, \ldots, v_2, v_1 \rangle.$$

Then $Reg_H(G, 5) \geq 5$.

In the forthcoming papers we plan to use considered cases as a base to prove the statement in general case.

2 Preliminary results

Definition 7. If a group G acts on a set Ω then define $C_\Omega(x)$ to be the set of points in Ω fixed by an element $x \in G$. If G and Ω are finite then we define the **fixed point ratio** of x (denote it by $\mathrm{fpr}(x)$), to be the proportion of points in Ω fixed by x, i.e. $\mathrm{fpr}(x) = |C_\Omega(x)|/|\Omega|$.

The following result is known and we give its proof here for completeness.

Lemma 8. *If G acts on a set Ω transitively and H is the stabilizer of a point then*

$$\mathrm{fpr}(x) = \frac{|x^G \cap H|}{|x^G|}$$

for all $x \in G$.

Proof. Let $\{1 = g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_k\}$ be a right transversal of G by H. The action is transitive, so $\{H, H^{g_2}, \ldots, H^{g_k}\}$ contains stabilizers of all points. Then

$$|C_\Omega(x)| = |\{i \mid x \in H^{g_i}\}| = \frac{|\{g \mid x^{g^{-1}} \in H\}|}{|H|} = \frac{|x^G \cap H||C_G(x)|}{|H|}.$$

On the other hand, $|\Omega| = |G : H| = \frac{|x^G||C_G(x)|}{|H|}$. Thus

$$\mathrm{fpr}(x) = \frac{|C_\Omega(x)|}{|\Omega|} = \frac{|x^G \cap H|}{|x^G|},$$

and the lemma follows.

□

The papers [3–6] are devoted to the study of the fixed point ratio in classical groups, and we will use the notation from these papers. Recall some elementary observations from [3]. Let a group G acts on the set Ω of right cosets of a subgroup H of G. Let $Q(G,c)$ be the probability that a randomly chosen c-tuple of points in Ω is not a base for G, so G admits a base of size c if and only if $Q(G,c)<1$. Of course, a c-tuple in Ω fails to be a base if and only if it is fixed by an element $x \in G\setminus H_G$(here $H_G = \cap_{g\in G} H^g$) of prime order, and we note that the probability that a random c-tuple is fixed by x is at most $\mathrm{fpr}(x)^c$. Let $\mathscr{P}$ be the set of elements of prime order in $G\setminus H_G$, and let $x_1,\dots,x_k$ be a set of representatives for the G-classes of elements in $\mathscr{P}$. Since G is transitive, fixed point ratios are constant on conjugacy classes and it follows that

$$Q(G,c) \le \sum_{x\in\mathscr{P}} \mathrm{fpr}(x)^c = \sum_{i=1}^{k} |x_i^G| \cdot |\mathrm{fpr}(x_i)^c| =: \widehat{Q}(G,c). \tag{2.1}$$

Suppose that there exists ξ such that for any prime order element $x \in \mathscr{P}$ we have $\mathrm{fpr}(x) \le |x^G|^{-\xi}$. Then we obtain $\widehat{Q}(G,c) \le \sum_{i=1}^{k} |x_i^G|^{1-c\xi}$.

Definition 9. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be the set of conjugacy classes of prime order elements in $G\setminus H_G$. For $t \in \mathbb{R}$ define $\eta_G(t)$ by

$$\eta_G(t) = \sum_{C\in\mathscr{C}} |C|^{-t}$$

and define $T_G \in (0,1)$ such that $\eta_G(T_G) = 1$.

Lemma 10. *If G acts on Ω transitively , $\mathrm{fpr}(x) \le |x^G|^{-\xi}$ for all $x \in \mathscr{P}$ and $T_G < c\xi - 1$ then $b(G) \le c$.*

Proof. We follow the proof of [7, Proposition 2.1]. Let $x_1,...,x_k$ be representatives for the G-classes of prime order elements in G, and let $Q(G,c)$ be the probability that a randomly chosen c-tuple of points in Ω is not a base for G. Evidently, G admits a base of size c if and only if $Q(G,c)<1$. By (2.1) we obtain that

$$Q(G,c) \le \sum_{i=1}^{k} |x_i^G| \cdot |\mathrm{fpr}(x_i)^c| = \eta_G(c\xi - 1)$$

and the result follows since $\eta_G(t) < 1$ for all $t > T_G$. □

It is proven in [7, Proposition 2.2] that if G is an almost simple group of Lie type and $n \ge 6$ then $T_G < 1/3$. It is easy to see that $T_G < 1/3$ holds for $G = GL_n^{\pm}(q)$ too. Indeed, if $\overline{G} = PGL_n^{\pm}(q)$ and an element $x \in G$ is a prime order element then $|C_G(x)| \le |C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x})||Z(G)|$, so $|x^G| \ge |\overline{x}^{\overline{G}}|$ and $\eta_{\overline{G}}(t) \ge \eta_G(t)$ for $t > 0$. Since $\eta_G(t)$ is a monotonically decreasing function we have $T_{\overline{G}} \ge T_G$. Thus if

$$\mathrm{fpr}(x) < |x^G|^{-\frac{4}{3c}} \tag{2.2}$$

then $\xi \ge \frac{4}{3c}$ and $c\xi - 1 \ge 1/3 > T_G$ and there is a base of size c.
First consider the case when G is isomorphic to $GL_n(q)$ and the point stabilizer H is conjugate to $Sin_n(q) \rtimes \langle\varphi\rangle \simeq F_{q^n}^{\times} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_n$, where $Sin_n(q)$ is a Singer cycle of $GL_n(q)$ and φ is an element from $GL_n(q)$ inducing a field automorphism of $Sin_n(q) \simeq F_{q^n}^{\times}$ such that $\varphi : g \mapsto g^q$ for $g \in Sin_n(q)$.

Since $Sin_n(q) \rtimes \langle\varphi\rangle$ is isomorphic to $F_{q^n}^{\times} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_n$, it is convenient to express an element of H in the form (λ, j), where $\lambda \in F_{q^n}^{\times}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. Now we discuss how an element $h = (\lambda, j) \in H$ acts on $V = F_q^n$. Let θ be a generating element of $F_{q^n}^{\times}$ then a vector space F_q^n can be identified with elements of a field F_{q^n}. Furthermore, a nonzero element of F_{q^n} can be written in the form θ^s for suitable $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{q^n-1}$. Using this identification it is possible to define action of (λ, j) on element $v \in F_{q^n}$ by $v \cdot (\lambda, j) = (v\lambda)^{q^j}$.
It is clear that if $y = (\lambda, j) \in F_{q^n}^{\times} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_n$, then $y^r = (\lambda^{q^{(n-j)(r-1)}+q^{(n-j)(r-2)}+\ldots+q^{(n-j)}+1}, rj)$, so if $|y| = r$ and r is prime then $rj \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. Thus either $y \in F_{q^n}^{\times}$ or r divides n.
Note that for n even $\mathrm{Sin}_n^-(q)$ is isomorphic to $F_{q^n}^{\times} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_n$ with the action $v \cdot (\lambda, j) = (v\lambda)^{(-q)^j}$ for $v \in F_{q^n}$. So, we obtain $y^r = (\lambda^{(-q)^{(n-j)(r-1)}+(-q)^{(n-j)(r-2)}+\ldots+(-q)^{(n-j)}+1}, rj)$.

Lemma 11. *Denote by θ a generating element of $F_{q^n}^{\times}$. Let $G = GL_n^{\epsilon}(q)$, $H = \mathrm{Sin}_n^{\epsilon}(q) \simeq F_{q^n}^{\times} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_n$. If $y = (\lambda, j) \in H$, $|y| = r$ is a prime number and r divide n then y can be written as $(\theta^{j_1((\epsilon q)^{n/r}-1)}, rj)$ for some j_1.*

Proof. We start the proof with case $\epsilon = +$.
Choose t so that the identity $\lambda = \theta^t$ holds. Since $|y| = r$, it follows that $y^r = (1, 0)$ in the above notation. Then

$$\lambda^{q^{r(n-j)(r-1)/r}+q^{r(n-j)(r-2)/r}+\ldots+q^{r(n-j)/r}+1} = 1 \tag{2.3}$$

Since r divides n, there is some $j_2 < n$ such that $j = nj_2/r$ and therefore

$$r(n-j) = r(n - nj_2/r) = rn - nj_2 = n(r - j_2).$$

Denote $(r - j_2)$ by m. Thus

$$q^{r(n-j)(r-1)/r} + q^{r(n-j)(r-2)/r} + \ldots + q^{r(n-j)/r} + 1 = q^{nm(r-1)/r} + \ldots + q^{nm/r} + 1$$

and because of (2.3) we obtain

$$1 = \theta^{t\cdot(q^{nm(r-1)/r}+\ldots+q^{nm/r}+1)},$$

and thus

$$t \cdot (q^{nm(r-1)/r} + \ldots + q^{nm/r} + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{q^n - 1}.$$

Now it is sufficient to prove that $(q^{nm(r-1)/r} + \ldots + q^{nm/r} + 1, q^{n/r} - 1) = 1$ to obtain that $t = j_1(q^{n/r} - 1)$, since $q^{n/r} - 1$ is a divisor of $q^n - 1$. So, consider the greatest common divisor

$$(q^{nm(r-1)/r} + \ldots + q^{nm/r} + 1, q^{n/r} - 1)$$

and add the second number to the first, we have

$$(q^{nm(r-1)/r} + \ldots + q^{nm/r} + 1 + q^{n/r} - 1, q^{n/r} - 1) = (q^{n/r}(q^{\frac{nm(r-1)-n}{r}} + \ldots + q^{\frac{nm-n}{r}} + 1), q^{n/r} - 1)$$

$$= ((q^{\frac{nm(r-1)-n}{r}} + \ldots + q^{\frac{nm-n}{r}} + 1), q^{n/r} - 1),$$

since $q^{n/r}$ and $q^{n/r} - 1$ are co-prime. After m iterations we have

$$((q^{\frac{nm(r-2)}{r}} + \ldots + 2), q^{n/r} - 1),$$

after mr iterations we obtain

$$(r, q^{n/r} - 1).$$

So the greatest common divisor can be equal to either 1 or r. Notice that $q^{nm(r-1)/r} + \ldots + q^{nm/r} + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{r}$, therefore we obtain the statement of the lemma.
The statement of the lemma is true for $\epsilon = -$ since if n is odd then H is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Sin}_n^+(q^2) \rtimes \langle\varphi\rangle$ (here $\varphi : g \mapsto g^q$), and if n is even then it is sufficient to replace q by $(-q)$ in the proof above. □

Lemma 12. *Let $G = GL_n^\epsilon(q)$, $H = \mathrm{Sin}_n^\epsilon(q) \simeq F_{q^n}^\times \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_n$. An element of H of prime order r can have a subspace of fixed points of dimension either 0 or n/r.*

Proof. We start the proof with case $\epsilon = +$.
Let $y \in H$ be an element of prime order r, where r divide n and $v \cdot y = v$ where $v = \theta^i \in F_{q^n}$. As we explain above, y can be written as (λ, j), $\lambda \in F_{q^n}^\times$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. By Lemma 11 we can write $y = (\theta^{j_1(q^{n/r}-1)}, nj_2/r)$. So, equality $v \cdot y = v$ is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} (i + j_1(q^{n/r} - 1))q^{nj_2/r})) &\equiv i \pmod{q^n - 1} \\ i(q^{nj_2/r} - 1) &\equiv -j_1(q^{n/r} - 1) \pmod{q^n - 1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Indeed, it is easy to check that

$$(q^n - 1) = (q^{n/r} - 1)(q^{\frac{n(r-1)}{r}} + q^{\frac{n(r-2)}{r}} + \ldots + q^{\frac{n}{r}} + 1)$$

$$(q^{nj_2/r} - 1) = (q^{n/r} - 1)(q^{\frac{n(j_2-1)}{r}} + q^{\frac{n(j_2-2)}{r}} + \ldots + q^{\frac{n}{r}} + 1)$$

So, the congruence (2.4) is equivalent to

$$i\frac{(q^{nj_2/r} - 1)}{(q^{n/r} - 1)} \equiv -j_1 \pmod{\frac{q^n - 1}{(q^{n/r} - 1)}}$$

where $0 \le i < q^n - 1$.
Now show that $\left(\frac{(q^{nj_2/r}-1)}{(q^{n/r}-1)}, \frac{q^n-1}{(q^{n/r}-1)}\right) = 1$. If $j_2 > r$ then

$$\left(\frac{q^n - 1}{(q^{n/r} - 1)}, \frac{(q^{nj_2/r} - 1)}{(q^{n/r} - 1)}\right) = ((q^{\frac{n(r-1)}{r}} + q^{\frac{n(r-2)}{r}} + \ldots + q^{\frac{n}{r}} + 1), (q^{\frac{n(j_2-1)}{r}} + q^{\frac{n(j_2-2)}{r}} + \ldots + q^{\frac{n}{r}} + 1)) =$$

\ and we can take the first argument from the second\

$$= ((q^{\frac{n(r-1)}{r}} + \ldots + q^{\frac{n}{r}} + 1), (q^{\frac{n(j_2-1)}{r}} + \ldots + q^{\frac{nr}{r}})) =$$

$$= ((q^{\frac{n(r-1)}{r}} + \ldots + q^{\frac{n}{r}} + 1), q^n(q^{\frac{n(j_2-r-1)}{r}} + \ldots + 1)) = ((q^{\frac{n(r-1)}{r}} + \ldots + q^{\frac{n}{r}} + 1), (q^{\frac{n(j_2-r-1)}{r}} + \ldots + 1)) = \ldots =$$

$$= ((q^{\frac{n(r-1)}{r}} + \ldots + q^{\frac{n}{r}} + 1), (q^{\frac{n(s-1)}{r}} + \ldots + 1))$$

where $j_2 = ar + s$, $a, s \in \mathbb{N}$, $s < r$. Thus exponents of the first term in $((q^{\frac{n(r-1)}{r}} + \ldots + q^{\frac{n}{r}} + 1)$ and the first term in $(q^{\frac{n(s-1)}{r}} + \ldots + 1))$ are changing according to the Euclid's algorithm for r and j_2, so

$$\left(\frac{(q^{nj_2/r-1})}{(q^{n/r}-1)}, \frac{q^n-1}{(q^{n/r}-1)}\right) = \left((q^{\frac{n(d-1)}{r}}+\ldots+1), (q^{\frac{n(t-1)}{r}}+\ldots+1)\right)$$

for suitable t and $d=(j_2,r)$. If $d\neq 1$ then it is obvious that the initial congruence (2.4) have solutions if and only if $j_1(q^{n/r}-1)\equiv 0 \pmod{q^n-1}$, but in this case $y=1$. If $d=1$ then

$$((1,(q^{\frac{n(t-1)}{r}}+\ldots+1))=1,$$

so $\frac{(q^{nj_2/r-1})}{(q^{n/r}-1)}$ modulo $\frac{q^n-1}{(q^{n/r}-1)}$ is invertible in $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q^n-1}{(q^{n/r}-1)}}$ and we have the unique solution modulo $\frac{q^n-1}{(q^{n/r}-1)}$. Therefore there are $\frac{q^n-1}{(q^{n/r}-1)}$ solutions for $0\leq i<q^n-1$. Thus an element of H of prime order r can have a subspace of fixed points of dimension either 0 or n/r.
The statement of the lemma is true for $\epsilon=-$ since if n is odd then H is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Sin}_n^+(q^2)\rtimes\langle\varphi\rangle$ (here $\varphi: g\mapsto g^q$), and if n is even then it is sufficient to replace q by $(-q)$ in the proof above. □

Proposition 13. *If y is an element of $\mathrm{Sin}_n^\epsilon(q)$ of prime order r not dividing n then $|y^G\cap H|\leq n$.*

Proof. Let y be an element of $Sin_n^\epsilon(q)$ of prime order r not dividing n and y^g lies in $y^G\cap H$ for some $g\in G$. Then y^g can be represented in the form (λ_1,i) for suitable $\lambda_1\in F_{q^n}^\times$ and $i\in\mathbb{Z}_n$, so $(y^g)^r=(\lambda_2,ri)$ and $ri\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. Thus $i\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ and $y^g\in Sin_n^\epsilon(q)$ since $(r,n)=1$. Now $Sin_n^\epsilon(q)$ is a maximal torus of GL_n^ϵ, so by [8, Corollary 3.7.2] if $y,y^g\in Sin_n(q)$ then there is $g_1\in H$ such that $y^g=y^{g_1}$. Let $g_1=(\mu,s)$ then $y^{(\mu,s)}=y^{(1,s)}$. Thus $|y^G\cap H|\leq|\varphi|=n$. □

Definition 14. For an odd prime integer r such that $(r,q)=1$ denote the multiplicative order of q in $\mathbb{Z}_r$ by $e(r,q)$, i.e. $e(r,q)>0$ is the minimal number such that $q^{e(r,q)}\equiv 1 \pmod{r}$. (In particular $e(r,q)<r$.)

Lemma 15. *If $x\in G$ has prime order r and has no invariant proper subspaces then $e(r,q)=n$.*

Proof. First observe that the minimal polynomial $\mu(t)$ of x is irreducible over F_q. Assume the contrary that $\mu(t)=f(t)g(t)$ where $\deg(g(t))\geq\deg(f(t))>0$. Then

$$0<Im(g(x))\leq Ker(f(x))<Ker(\mu(x)),$$

so $Ker(f(x))$ is a nontrivial x-invariant proper subspace that contrary with irreducibility of x. Since $e=e(r,q)$ is the multiplicative order of q in $\mathbb{Z}_r$ we obtain that $x^{q^e}-x=0$. For the spectrum of x this means that $Spec(x)\subseteq F_{q^e}$. Then for irreducible $\mu(t)$ it is true that

$$\deg(\mu(t))\leq|F_{q^e}:F|=e.$$

Since $\mu(t)$ is the minimal polynomial, for a nonzero vector v we have $L(v,vx,vx^2,\ldots,vx^{\deg(\mu(t))-1})$ is an x-invariant subspace. Thus $n=e$ and $\{v,vx,vx^2,\ldots,vx^{\deg(\mu(t))-1}\}$ is a basis. □

Structure of element of prime order $r\neq p$ in general follows from Lemma 15. Indeed, it follows by the Maschke's theorem that x is completely reducible. Thus x consist of several cells of size e and a cell which is an identity matrix.

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} * & \dots & * & & & & & & \\ \vdots & e\times e & \vdots & & & & & & \\ * & \dots & * & & & & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & & & & \\ & & & & * & \dots & * & & \\ & & & & \vdots & e\times e & \vdots & & \\ & & & & * & \dots & * & & \\ & & & & & & & 1 & \\ & & & & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.5}$$

Note that x is always diagonalizable for $r = 2 \neq p$, so we have that $e = 1$ in this case.

3 Proof of Theorem 5

We use some calculations in GAP [14]. Programs and logs can be found by the link: goo.gl/gI6nna
We begin the proof of Theorem 5 by assuming that $G = GL_n(q)$. By the structure of element of prime order $r \neq p$ (2.5) we have

$$C_G(x) \leq GL_k(q^e) \times GL_t(q),$$

where $n = ke + t$. Thus

$$|x^G| = \frac{|G|}{|C_G(x)|} = \frac{q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}(q^n-1)(q^{n-1}-1)\dots(q-1)}{q^{\frac{ek(k-1)}{2}+\frac{t(t-1)}{2}}(q^{ek}-1)(q^{e(k-1)}-1)\dots(q^e-1)(q^t-1)(q^{t-1}-1)\dots(q-1)} =$$

$$= q^{n(n-1)/2-ek(k-1)/2-t(t-1)/2} \cdot \frac{\prod_{i\neq me, m\leq k}^{n}(q^i-1)}{\prod_{i=1}^{t}(q^i-1)}$$

$$\prod_{i\neq me, m\leq k}^{n}(q^i-1) \geq \prod_{i\neq me, m\leq k}^{n-1} q^i = q^{n(n-1)/2-(e(k+1)-1)k/2}$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{t}(q^i-1) \leq \prod_{i=1}^{t} q^i = q^{t(t+1)/2}$$

and we obtain that

$$\log_q(|x^G|) \geq n(n-1) - ek^2 + k - t^2. \tag{3.1}$$

Lemma 16. *Let $G = GL_n(q)$, $H = Sin_n(q) \rtimes \langle\varphi\rangle$ and $n \geq 6$. Then $b_H(G) \leq 2$.*

Proof. It is enough to verify inequality (2.2) for an element $x \in H \setminus H_G$ of prime order and $c = 2$ to obtain the statement. Let n be equal to $ek + t$, where t is exactly the dimension of $C_V(x)$, so by Lemma 12 we have $t \leq n/r \leq n/2$, where $r = |x|$.

Case 1. ($|x| \neq p$)
Assume first that $e \geq 2$ and $k \leq n/2$.
The inequality (2.2) takes the form

$$|x^G \cap H|^3 < |x^G| \tag{3.2}$$

in our case.
If $x \in Sin_n(q)$ and $(|x|, n) = 1$ then by Proposition 13 it is enough to verify the inequality

$$n^3 < |x^G|.$$

Indeed,

$$n^3 < q^{\frac{n^2}{2}-n} \leq (q^{n(n-1)-nn/2}) \leq (q^{n(n-1)-ek^2+k-t^2}) \leq |x^G|$$

since $|x^G \cap H| \leq n$ and $n = e \cdot k$, $e > 1$. Obviously, this inequality holds for $q \geq 2$ and $n \geq 6$.
Now let $x \in H$ and $|x|$ divide n. Then

$$|x^G| \geq q^{n(n-1)-ek^2+k-t^2} \geq q^{n(n-1)-ek\cdot n/2+k-(n/2)^2} \geq q^{n^2/4-n}.$$

Consider the left-hand side of inequality (3.2)

$$|x^G \cap H|^3 \leq |H|^3 = (q^n - 1)^3 n^3.$$

Thus (2.2) holds if

$$(q^n - 1)^3 n^3 < q^{n^2/4-n}. \tag{3.3}$$

Direct calculation shows that inequality (3.3) holds for $q \geq 2$ and $n > 20$. Now consider the cases $n = 6, \ldots, 20$. It is routine to check that inequality $(q^n - 1)^3 n^3 < (q^{n(n-1)-ek^2+k-t^2})$ holds for all possible decomposition $n = ke + t$(such that $e = e(r, q)$, r divide n and t is equal to 0 or n/r) in cases $n = 7, \ldots, 20$.
Consider more carefully the case $n = 6$. First, we find a stronger estimate for $|x^G \cap H|$. Recall that we can represent x as $(\lambda, j) \in F_{q^n}^{\times} \leftthreetimes \mathbb{Z}_n$. If $r = 2$ then $e = 1$ and we consider this case beneath.
Now let $r = 3$ then $(\lambda, j)^3 = (\lambda^{q^{2(n-j)}+q^{n-j}+1}, 3j) = (1, 0)$, i.e. $j = 4, 2, 0$. If $j = 4$ then $\lambda^{q^4+q^2+1} = 1$. If $j = 2$ then $\lambda^{q^8+q^4+1} = 1$. Note that $q^8 + q^4 + 1 = (q^6 - 1)q^2 + (q^4 + q^2 + 1)$. Therefore in both cases we have $\lambda^{q^4+q^2+1} = 1$. Because of $(q^6 - 1) = (q^4 + q^2 + 1)(q^2 - 1)$ we obtain that $\lambda = \theta^{m(q^2-1)}$, where $m = 1, \ldots, (q^4 + q^2 + 1)$. If $j = 0$ then x is an element of $\mathrm{Sin}_6(q)$, and there is only two elements of order 3 in $\mathrm{Sin}_6(q)$. In total we get $2(q^4 + q^2 + 2)$ elements of order 3, thus $|x^G \cap H| \leq 2(q^4 + q^2 + 2)$.
Now we verify inequality (3.2) for this case. For $r = 3$ there are three cases

$$1) e = 2, k = 2, t = 2;$$

$$2) e = 3, k = 2, t = 0;$$

$$3) e = 2, k = 3, t = 0.$$

For the first two cases inequality $|x^G \cap H|^3 < (q^{n(n-1)-ek^2+k-t^2})$ holds for all $q \geq 2$. For the rest, the inequality holds for $q > 3$, for $q = 2$ the statement of the lemma can be checked by GAP.

Now let $e = 1$, i.e. x is conjugate with diagonal matrix. Denote the dimension of a maximal eigenspace of x by d. Let α be the corresponding eigenvalue. Recall that $H = \mathrm{Sin}_n(q) \rtimes \langle\varphi\langle$, so we write x as $x = a\varphi^j$, where $a \in \mathrm{Sin}_n(q)$, $j < n$. Consider the element $\alpha^{-1}x = \alpha^{-1}(a\varphi^j) = (\alpha^{-1}a)\varphi^j$, which lies in H, because the set $\mathrm{Sin}_n(q) \cup \{0\}$ is an algebra over F_q and closed under the multiplication by scalar. Obviously, the order of the element $\alpha^{-1}x$ is also equal to r, and dimension of subspace of fixed points also have to be n/r by Lemma 12. Thus $d = n/r$. Let $\overline{\ }: GL_n(q) \mapsto PGL_n(q)$ be the canonical homomorphism. Consider x as an element of the algebraic group $\hat{G} = PGL_n(\overline{F}_q)$ over the algebraic closure $\overline{F}_q$ of the field F_q. Let $s = s(x)$ be the co-dimension of maximal eigenspase of x. In our case we have $s = n - d \geq n/2$. Let n be not prime, i.e. $r \leq n/2$. Then by [4, Proposition 3.38] we obtain that

$$|x^G| \geq |\overline{x}^{\overline{G}}| > \frac{1}{2r}q^{ns} > \frac{1}{n}q^{n^2/2}.$$

The inequality

$$|x^G \cap H|^3 \leq |H|^3 = (q^n - 1)^3 n^3 < \frac{1}{n}q^{n^2/2}$$

holds for $n \geq 8$ and $q \geq 2$ if $r \neq p$. Now let $r = p$ and $s = n - 1$. Then by [4, Proposition 3.38] we obtain that

$$|x^G| \geq |\overline{x}^{\overline{G}}| > \frac{1}{2r}q^{ns} > \frac{1}{2n}q^{n^2-n}.$$

The inequality

$$(q^n - 1)^3 n^3 < \frac{1}{2n}q^{n^2-n}$$

holds for $n \geq 7$ and $q \geq 2$.
Let $n = 6$. Each element x of order 2 can be written as $(\lambda, j) \in F_{q^n}^{\times} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_n$ then $(\lambda, j)^2 = (\lambda^{q^{(n-j)}+1}, 2j) = 1$. Then $j = 3$ and $\lambda^{q^3+1} = 1$. Thus $\lambda = \theta^{m\cdot(q^3-1)}$ where $m = 1, \ldots, q^3 + 1$. Therefore there are q^3 involutions which are not scalar matrix in H. It is easy to see that inequality $|x^G \cap H|^3 \leq (q^3 - 1)^3 < \frac{1}{4}q^{6^2/2} \leq |x^G|$ holds for $q \geq 3$. Let $r = 3$ then $s = 4$ and by [4, Proposition 3.38] we obtain $(q^n - 1)^3 n^3 < \frac{1}{6}q^{6\cdot4} < |\overline{x}^{\overline{G}}| \leq |x^G|$ for $q > 3$, the case $q = 2$ can be checked by GAP.
Case 2. ($|x| = p$)
Let $\overline{\ }: GL_n(q) \mapsto PGL_n(q)$ be the canonical homomorphism. Consider x as an element of the algebraic group $\hat{G} = PGL_n(\overline{F}_q)$ over the algebraic closure $\overline{F}_q$ of the field F_q. Let $s = s(x)$ be the codimention of maximal eigenspase of x. Thus $s = n - t$ because x is unipotent. Therefore $s \geq n/2$ by Lemma 12. So, by [4, Proposition 3.38]

$$|x^G| \geq |\overline{x}^{\overline{G}}| > \frac{1}{2p}q^{ns} \geq \frac{1}{2p}q^{n^2/2} \geq \frac{1}{2}q^{n^2/2-1},$$

and $(q^n - 1)^3 n^3 < |x^G|$ holds for $q \geq 2$ and $n \geq 9$. For $n = 8$ this inequality holds for $q \geq 3$, the case $q = 2$ can be checked by GAP. If $n = 7$ then $(q^n - 1)^3 n^3 < \frac{1}{2}q^{n^2/2-1}$ holds for $q \geq 13$, so since $r = p = 7$ we obtain $s = 6$ and by [4, Proposition 3.38] we have $(q^n - 1)^3 n^3 < \frac{1}{14}q^{7\cdot6} < |\overline{x}^{\overline{G}}| \leq |x^G|$. This inequality holds for $n \geq 7$.
If $n = 6$ there are two possibilities: $r = 3$ and $r = 2$. Let $r = 3$ then $s = 4$ and by [4, Proposition 3.38] we obtain $(q^n - 1)^3 n^3 < \frac{1}{6}q^{6\cdot4} < |\overline{x}^{\overline{G}}| \leq |x^G|$ for $q > 3$, case $q = 3$ can be checked by GAP.

If $r = p = 2$ then $s = 3$ and $|x^G| > \frac{1}{4}q^{6\cdot 3}$. Consider $\langle\varphi^3\rangle = S \in Syl_2(H)$. Well known that the number of Sylow subgoup $n_2(H)$ is equal to $|H : N_H(S)|$. Let $a \in Sin_6(q)$ and $a^{-1}\varphi^3 a = \varphi^u$ then $\varphi^{-3}a^{-1}\varphi^3 a = \varphi^{-3}\varphi^u$ and $(a^{-1})^{q^3}a = \varphi^{-3}\varphi^u = 1$ because $(a^{-1})^{q^3}a \in Sin_6(q)$. Thus $a = \theta^{m(q^3+1)}$ where $m = 1\dots(q^3-1)$. Therefore $|N_H(S)| = 6\cdot(q^3-1)$, so $n_2(H) = (q^3+1)$. Obviously $|x^G \cap H| \le n_2(H)$ and we need to verify that $(q^3+1)^3 < |x^G|$. This inequality $(q^3+1)^3 < \frac{1}{4}q^{6\cdot 3}$ holds for all $q \ge 2$. □

Consider now the case when $G = GU_n(q) \le GL_n(q^2)$. We want to obtain the estimate on $|x^G|$ similar to previous case. Let x be a prime order element of G. Then $C_G(x) \le GU_k(q^e)\times GU_t(q)$.

$$|x^G| = \frac{G}{C_G(x)} =$$

$$= \frac{q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}(q^n-(-1)^n)(q^{n-(-1)^{n-1}}-1)\dots(q+1)}{q^{\frac{ek(k-1)}{2}+\frac{t(t-1)}{2}}(q^{ek}-(-1)^k)(q^{e(k-1}-(-1)^{k-1})\dots(q^e+1)(q^t-(-1)^t)(q^{t-1}-(-1)^{t-1})\dots(q+1)} =$$

$$= q^{n(n-1)/2-ek(k-1)/2-t(t-1)/2}\cdot\frac{\prod_{i\ne me,m\le k}^{n}(q^i-(-1)^i)}{\prod_{i=1}^{t}(q^i-(-1)^t)} = q^{n(n-1)/2-ek(k-1)/2-t(t-1)/2}\cdot\frac{\Pi_1}{\Pi_2}$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n}(q^i-(-1)^i) \ge q\cdot q\cdot q^3\cdot q^3\dots = \left.\begin{cases}(\prod_{i=1,i\text{is odd}}^{n-2}q^{2i})\cdot q^n = q^{(n^2+1)/2}, & n \text{ is odd;}\\ \prod_{i=1,i\text{is odd}}^{n}q^{2i} = q^{n^2/2}, & n \text{ is even.}\end{cases}\right\} \ge q^{n^2/2}$$

Now to get the estimate for Π_1 we have to remove from the product $q\cdot q\cdot q^3\cdot q^3\dots$ the multipliers which are corresponding to $i = me$, $m \le k$.
If e is even, we have

$$\prod_{i=me,m\le k} q^{i-1} = q^{(e(k+1)-2)k/2}.$$

If e is odd, we have

$$\prod_{i=me,m\le k\text{is even}} q^{i-1}\cdot\prod_{i=me,m\le k\text{is odd}} q^i = \begin{cases}q^{(e(k+2)-2)k/4+(ek)k/4} = q^{(e(k+1)-1)k/2} & k \text{ is even;}\\ q^{(e(k+1)-2)(k-1)/4+e(k+1)(k+1)/4} = q^{e(k+1)k/2-(k-1)/2} & k \text{ odd.}\end{cases}$$

Therefore $\Pi_1 \ge q^{n^2/2-(e(k+1)-1)k/2}$.
For Π_2 we have

$$\Pi_2 \le \prod_{i\text{is even}} q^i\cdot\prod_{i\text{is odd}} q^{i+1} = \begin{cases}q^{(t+2)t/4+(t+2)t/4} = q^{(t+2)t/2} & t \text{ is even;}\\ q^{(t+1)(t-1)/4+(t+3)(t+1)/4} = q^{(t+1)^2/2} & t \text{ odd.}\end{cases}$$

So, after all, we obtain $log_q(|x^G|) \ge n(n-1)/2 - ek(k-1)/2 - t(t-1)/2 + n^2/2 - (e(k+1)-1)k/2 - (t+1)^2 = n(n-1) - ek^2 + k - t^2 + (n-k-t-1)/2$.

Lemma 17. *Let $G = GL_n^-(q)$, $H = \mathrm{Sin}_n^-(q) \rtimes \langle\varphi\rangle$ and $n \ge 6$ then $b_H(G) \le 2$.*

Proof. It is enough to verify (2.2) for an element $x \in H \setminus H_G$ of prime order and $c = 2$ to obtain the statement. Let n be equal to $ek+t$, where t is exactly the dimension of $C_V(x)$, so $t \le n/r \le n/2$ by Lemma 12.

Case 1. ($|x| \neq p$)

Assume first that $e \ge 2$ and $k \le n/2$.

The inequality (2.2) takes the form

$$|x^G \cap H|^3 < |x^G| \tag{3.4}$$

in our case. Denote $|x|$ by r.

If $x \in Sin_n(q)$ and $(|x|, n) = 1$ then by Proposition 13 it is enough to verify the inequalities

$$n^3 < q^{\frac{n^2}{2}-n} \le (q^{n(n-1)-nn/2}) \le (q^{n(n-1)-ek^2+k-t^2+(n-k-t-1)/2}) \le |x^G|$$

since $|x^G \cap H| \le n$ and $n = e \cdot k$, $e > 1$. Obviously, this inequality holds for $q \ge 2$ and $n \ge 6$.

Now let $x \in H$ and $|x|$ divide n. Then

$$|x^G| \ge q^{n(n-1)-ek^2+k-t^2+(n-k-t-1)/2} \ge q^{n(n-1)-ek\cdot n/2+k-(n/2)^2+(n-k-t-1)/2} \ge q^{n^2/4-n}.$$

Consider the left-hand side of inequality (3.4)

$$|x^G \cap H|^3 \le |H|^3 = (q^n - (-1)^n)^3 n^3.$$

Thus the statement of the lemma is true if

$$(q^n - (-1)^n)^3 n^3 < q^{n^2/4-n}. \tag{3.5}$$

Direct calculation shows that inequality (3.5) holds for $q \ge 2$ and $n > 20$. Now consider cases $n = 6, \ldots, 20$. It is routine to check that inequality $(q^n-(-1)^n)^3 n^3 < (q^{n(n-1)-ek^2+k-t^2+(n-k-t-1)/2})$ holds for all possible decomposition $n = ke + t$(such that $e = e(r, q^2)$, r divide n and t is equal to 0 or n/r) in cases $n = 7, \ldots, 20$.

Consider more carefully the case $n = 6$. First, we find stronger estimate for $|x^G \cap H|$. We represent x as $(\lambda, j) \in F_{q^n}^{\times} \leftthreetimes \mathbb{Z}_n$. If $r = 2$ then $e = 1$ and we consider this case beneath.

Now let $r = 3$ then $1 = (\lambda, j)^3 = (\lambda^{(-q)^{2(n-j)}+(-q)^{n-j}+1}, 3j)$, i.e. $j = 4, 2, 0$. If $j = 4$ then $\lambda^{q^4+q^2+1} = 1$. If $j = 2$ then $\lambda^{q^8+q^4+1} = 1$. Note that $q^8 + q^4 + 1 = (q^6 - 1)q^2 + (q^4 + q^2 + 1)$. Therefore in both cases we have $\lambda^{q^4+q^2+1} = 1$. Because of $(q^6 - 1) = (q^4 + q^2 + 1)(q^2 - 1)$ we obtain that $\lambda = \theta^{m(q^2-1)}$, where $m = 1, \ldots, (q^4 + q^2 + 1)$. If $j = 0$ then x is an element of $\mathrm{Sin}_6(q)$, and there is only two elements of order 3 in $\mathrm{Sin}_6(q)$. In total we get $2(q^4 + q^2 + 2)$ elements of order 3, thus $|x^G \cap H| \le 2(q^4 + q^2 + 2)$.

Now we verify inequality (3.4) for this case for all possible decompositions $n = ek + t$ with $e > 1$.

For $r = 3$ there are two cases $e = 2, k = 2, t = 2$, and $e = 2, k = 3, t = 0$. The inequality $|x^G \cap H|^3 < (q^{n(n-1)-ek^2+k-t^2})$ holds for $q > 3$, for $q = 2$ the statement of the lemma can be checked by GAP.

Now let $e = 1$, i.e. x is conjugate with diagonal matrix. Denote the dimension of a maximal eigenspase of x by d. Let α be the corresponding eigenvalue. If n is odd then $x \in$

$Sin_n^+(q^2) \rtimes \langle\varphi\rangle$. We write x as $x = a\varphi^j$, where $a \in \mathrm{Sin}_n^+(q^2)$, $j < n$. Consider the element $\alpha^{-1}x = \alpha^{-1}(a\varphi^j) = (\alpha^{-1}a)\varphi^j$, which lies in $Sin_n^+(q^2) \rtimes \langle\varphi\rangle$, because the set $\mathrm{Sin}_n^+(q^2) \cup \{0\}$ is an algebra over F_{q^2} and closed under the multiplication by scalar. Note, that if n is even then $\mathrm{Sin}_n^-(q) \cup \{0\}$ is also closed under the multiplication by the stracture of $\mathrm{Sin}_n^-(q)$ described in the introduction, so in this case $\alpha^{-1}x$ lies in $Sin_n^-(q) \rtimes \langle\varphi\rangle$. Obviously, the order of the element $\alpha^{-1}x$ is also equal to r, and so the dimension of subspace of fixed points also have to be n/r by Lemma 12. Thus $d = n/r$.
Let $\overline{\ }: GU_n(q) \mapsto PGU_n(q)$ be the canonical homomorphism. Consider x as an element of the algebraic group $\hat{G} = PGU_n(\overline{F}_q)$ over the algebraic closure $\overline{F}_q$ of the field F_q. Let $s = s(x)$ be the co-dimension of maximal eigenspase of x. In our case we have $s = n - d \geq n/2$. Let n be not prime, in particular $r \leq n/2$. Then by [4, Proposition 3.38] we obtain that

$$|x^G| \geq |\overline{x}^{\overline{G}}| > \frac{1}{2r}q^{ns} > \frac{1}{n}q^{n^2/2}.$$

The inequality

$$(q^n - 1)^3 n^3 < \frac{1}{n}q^{n^2/2}$$

holds for $n \geq 8$ and $q \geq 2$ if $r \neq p$. Now let n be a prime and $s = n - 1$. Then by [4, Proposition 3.38] we obtain that

$$|x^G| \geq |\overline{x}^{\overline{G}}| > \frac{1}{2r}q^{ns} > \frac{1}{2n}q^{n^2-n}.$$

The inequality

$$(q^n - (-1)^n)^3 n^3 < \frac{1}{2n}q^{n^2-n}$$

holds for $n \geq 7$ and $q \geq 2$.
Let $n = 6$ and $(\lambda, j) \in F_{q^n}^{\times} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_n$ be chosen so that $(\lambda, j)^2 = (\lambda^{(-q)^{(n-j)}+1}, 2j) = 1$. Then $j = 3$ and

$$\lambda^{(-q)^3+1} = \lambda^{(-q)^3+1+q^6-1} = \lambda^{q^3(q^3-1)} = 1,$$

so, since $(q^3, q^6 - 1) = 1$, we obtain that $\lambda = \theta^{m(q^3-1)}$, where $m = 1, \ldots, (q^3+1)$. Thus $|x^G \cap H| \leq (q^3+1)$. It is easy to see that inequality $|x^G \cap H|^3 \leq (q^3+1)^3 < \frac{1}{4}q^{6^2/2} \leq |x^G|$ holds for $q \geq 3$. Let $r = 3$ then $s = 4$ and by [4, Proposition 3.38] we obtain $(q^n - (-1)^n)^3 n^3 < \frac{1}{6}q^{6\cdot 4} < |\overline{x}^{\overline{G}}| \leq |x^G|$ for $q > 3$, the case $q = 2$ can be checked by GAP.
Case 2. ($|x| = p$)
Let $\overline{\ }: GU_n(q) \mapsto PGU_n(q)$ be the canonical homomorphism. Consider x as an element of the algebraic group $\hat{G} = PGU_n(\overline{F}_q)$ over the algebraic closure $\overline{F}_q$ of the field F_q. Let $s = s(x)$ be the codimention of maximal eigenspase of x. Thus $s = n - t$ because x is unipotent. Therefore $s \geq n/2$ by Lemma 12. So, by [4, Proposition 3.38]

$$|x^G| \geq |\overline{x}^{\overline{G}}| > \frac{1}{2p}q^{ns} \geq \frac{1}{2p}q^{n^2/2} \geq \frac{1}{2}q^{n^2/2-1},$$

and $(q^n - (-1)^n)^3 n^3 < |x^G|$ holds for $q \geq 2$ and $n \geq 9$. For $n = 8$ this inequality holds for $q \geq 3$, the case $q = 2$ can be checked by GAP. If $n = 7$ then $(q^n - (-1)^n)^3 n^3 < \frac{1}{2}q^{n^2/2-1}$ holds for $q \geq 13$,

so since $r = p = 7$ we obtain $s = 6$ and by [4, Proposition 3.38] we have $(q^n - (-1)^n)^3 n^3 < \frac{1}{14} q^{7\cdot 6} < |\overline{x^G}| \leq |x^G|$. This inequality holds for $q \geq 7$.
If $n = 6$ there are two possibilities: $r = 3$ and $r = 2$. Let $r = 3$ then $s = 4$ and by [4, Proposition 3.38] we obtain $(q^n - (-1)^n)^3 n^3 < \frac{1}{6} q^{6\cdot 4} < |\overline{x^G}| \leq |x^G|$ for $q > 3$, case $q = 3$ can be checked by GAP. If $r = p = 2$ then $s = 3$ and $|x^G| > \frac{1}{4} q^{6\cdot 3}$. Represent x as $(\lambda, j) \in F_{q^n}^{\times} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_n$ such that $(\lambda, j)^2 = (\lambda^{q^{(n-j)}+1}, 2j) = 1$. Then $j = 3$ and $\lambda^{q^3+1} = 1$, so $\lambda = \theta^{m(q^3-1)}$, where $m = 1, \ldots, (q^3+1)$. Thus $|x^G \cap H| \leq (q^3+1)$ and we need to verify that $(q^3+1)^3 < |x^G|$. This inequality $(q^3+1)^3 < \frac{1}{4} q^{6\cdot 3}$ holds for all $q \geq 2$.

□

Lemma 18. *Let $G = GL_n^{\epsilon}(q)$, $H = Sin_n^{\epsilon}(q) \rtimes \langle \varphi_n \rangle$ and $n \leq 5$ then $b_H(G) \leq 3$.*

Proof. The statement follows from [7, Proposition 4.1]. □

Lemma 19. *Let S be a Singer cycle $\mathrm{Sin}_n(q) = \mathrm{Sin}_n^+(q)$. If $g \in S$ is reducible then g is a scalar matrix.*

Proof. It is well known that S is irreducible and primitive linear group. Since S is abelian, $\langle g \rangle$ is normal in S. By Clifford's theorem we obtain that $\langle g \rangle$ is completely reducible and $F_q^n = V = V_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus V_k$, where V_i is an irreducible g-module of dimension n/k for $i = 1, \ldots, k$. So, $S \leq GL(V_1) \wr Sym_k$ therefore S is not irreducible if $\dim V_i > 1$. Thus, up to conjugation, g is diagonal. Let $g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \alpha_n \end{pmatrix}$. We know that S contains all scalar matrices and $S \cup \{0\}$ is closed under addition, so $g - \alpha_1 E \in S \cup \{0\}$, but this matrix is degenerative, so $g - \alpha_1 E = 0$ and g is scalar. □

Proof of Theorem 5. Denote $\mathrm{Sin}_{n_i}^{\epsilon}(q) \rtimes \langle \varphi_i \rangle$ by R_i. By Lemma 16 and Lemma 18 we know that for R_i there exist x_i, y_i such that $R_i \cap R_i^{x_i} \cap R_i^{y_i} \leq Z(GL_{n_i}^{\epsilon}(q))$. Let $x = x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_k$ and $y = y_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot y_k$. Consider the intersection $H \cap H^x \cap H^y$, and denote it by K. Let g be an element of K then g is a diagonal matrix with scalar matrix in each cage:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & & & & \\ 0 & \ddots & & \vdots & & & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & 0 & & 0 & & \\ 0 & \ldots & 0 & \alpha_1 & & & & \\ & & & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & & & \alpha_k & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ & & 0 & & & 0 & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & & & & \vdots & & \ddots & 0 \\ & & & & & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \alpha_k \end{pmatrix}$$

Moreover, if there are $i_0, \ldots, i_l$ such that $n_{i_j} = 1; 0 \leq j \leq l$ then up to conjugation in G we can assume that $\{i_0, \ldots, i_l\} = \{k-l, \ldots k\}$. Then the group $L = \mathrm{Sin}_{n_{k-l}}^{\epsilon}(q) \rtimes \langle \varphi_{k-l} \rangle \times \ldots \times \mathrm{Sin}_{n_k}^{\epsilon}(q) \rtimes$

$\langle\varphi_k\rangle = \mathrm{Sin}^{\epsilon}_{n_{k-l}}(q)\times\ldots\times\mathrm{Sin}^{\epsilon}_{n_k}(q)$ is abelian. Thus by [13, Theorem 1] there is $u\in GL^{\epsilon}_{l+1}(q)$ such that $L\cap L^u\leq Z(GL^{\epsilon}_{l+1}(q))$. So, we can define x and y as $x_1\cdot\ldots\cdot x_{k-l+1}\cdot u$ and $y_1\cdot\ldots\cdot y_{k-l+1}$ respectively. Then we obtain than in g $\alpha_{k-l}=\ldots=\alpha_k$.Therefore without loss of generality we can assume that there is only one Singer cycle of degree 1 and $n_k=1$. It is sufficient to find $z\in G$ such that for every g lying in K and in H^z we have $\alpha_i=\alpha_{i+1}$ for $i=1\ldots k-1$.
We start the proof with the case $G=GL_n(q)$. Let z be the permutation matrix $(1,2,\ldots,n)$. If $g\in H^z$ then $g^{z^{-1}}\in K^{z^{-1}}\cap H$, and the matrix $g'=\begin{pmatrix}\alpha_1&0&0&0\\ &\ddots&0&0\\0&0&\alpha_1&0\\0&0&0&\alpha_2\end{pmatrix}$ lies in $Sin^{\epsilon}_{n_1}(q)\rtimes<\varphi_1>$.
Thus g' normalizes $Sin^{\epsilon}_{n_1}(q)$. Let T be a generating element of $Sin^{\epsilon}_{n_1}(q)$ then $T^{g'}=T^m$ for an integer m. If $T=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}A&B\\C&D\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ where A is $(n_1-1)\times(n_1-1)$ matrix, B^T and C are n_1-1 rows, and D is an integer then $T^{g'}=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}A&\alpha_1^{-1}\alpha_2B\\\alpha_2^{-1}\alpha_1C&D\end{smallmatrix}\right)$.
Thus $T-T^{g'}$ is a degenerate matrix for $n_1>2$. We have $T-T^{g'}\in Sin_{n_1}(q)\cup\{0\}$ because $Sin_{n_1}(q)\cup\{0\}$ is closed under addition, thus $T-T^{g'}=0$ and $T=T^{g'}$.
Therefore we have $\alpha_1=\alpha_2$, so g' is a scalar matrix. The same arguments work for all $n_i>2; i=1\ldots k$, so α_i equals α_{i+1} if $n_i>2$.
For $n_1=2$. Denote $\alpha_1^{-1}\alpha_2$ and $\alpha_2^{-1}\alpha_1$ by β_1 and β_2 respectively. We have that $T-\beta_1T^{g'}$ is equal to T^l for some integer because $\mathrm{Sin}_{n_1}(q)$ is also an algebra under $GF(q)$. Thus $T^l=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}(1-\beta_1)A&(1-\beta_1^2)B\\0&(1-\beta_1)D\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ for suitable l and T^l is a scalar matrix by Lemma 19. It means that $1-\beta_1^2=0$ because if $B=0$ then T is reducible and it can not generate $\mathrm{Sin}_{n_1}(q)$. So, $\beta_1=\beta_2$. If $p=2$ then $0=(1-\beta_1^2)=(1-\beta_1)^2$, so $\beta_1=1$ and $\alpha_1=\alpha_2$.
Let $p>2$, we have $\beta_1=\beta_2$ and $\beta_1^2=1$, so $\alpha_1^2=\alpha_2^2$. Note that in this case we have two possibilities:
If $g'\in Sin_n(q)$ then by Lemma 19 g is scalar, so $\alpha_1=\alpha_2$.
If $g'=s\cdot\varphi_1$, $s\in Sin_n(q)$ then for an element $g\in Sin_n(q)$ we obtain

$$g^{g'}=g^{\varphi_1}=g^q. \tag{3.6}$$

Also, $g'^2\in\mathrm{Sin}_n(q)$ but g'^2 is diagonal, so it is scalar by Lemma 19. Thus without loss of generality we can assume that if $\alpha_1\neq\alpha_2$ then $g'^2=1$, so $\alpha_1^2=\alpha_2^2=1$ and $\alpha_1=1$; $\alpha_2=-1$. Therefore $T^{g'}=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}A&-B\\-C&D\end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Thus it is sufficient to prove that there is $\mathrm{Sin}_2(q)$ such that $T^{g'}=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}A&-B\\-C&D\end{smallmatrix}\right)\neq T^q$. Let $a\neq1$ be an element of F_q such that the equation $x^2=a$ does not have solutions, and let $M=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}0&1\\a&0\end{smallmatrix}\right)$. It is easy to see that $C_G(M)$ is a Singer cycle. Consider the group $\langle M\rangle\rtimes g'$ which is a subgroup of normalizer of Singer cycle. Conjugate this subgroup with the matrix $\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$. We also have a subgroup of a Singer cycle:

$$\langle\left(\begin{smallmatrix}a&1-a\\a&-a\end{smallmatrix}\right)\rangle\ltimes\langle\left(\begin{smallmatrix}-1&1-2\\0&-1\end{smallmatrix}\right)\rangle.$$

It is easy to see that $\left(\begin{smallmatrix}a&1-a\\a&-a\end{smallmatrix}\right)^q$ is not equal to $\left(\begin{smallmatrix}a&1-a\\a&-a\end{smallmatrix}\right)^{g'}$. Indeed,

$$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}a&1-a\\a&-a\end{smallmatrix}\right)^q=a^{(q-1)/2}\left(\begin{smallmatrix}a&1-a\\a&-a\end{smallmatrix}\right)\neq\left(\begin{smallmatrix}a&a-1\\-a&-a\end{smallmatrix}\right)=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}a&1-a\\a&-a\end{smallmatrix}\right)^{g'}.$$

So, for suitable Singer cycle the matrix $\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&0\\0&-1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ does not normalize it. Thus g' is a scalar matrix in this case and $\alpha_1=\alpha_2$. The same arguments show that for all $n_i=2; i=1\ldots k$ α_i equals α_{i+1}. This yields that $\alpha_i=\alpha_j$ for all $i,j=1\ldots k$, and we obtain that g is a scalar matrix.

Now consider the case $G = GL_n^-(q) = GU_n(q)$. The proof is similar to the proof of the previous case. For the convenience of proof that $\alpha_i = \alpha_{i+1}$ when $n_i = 2$ we will consider G as the group of unitary transformations with respect to the hermitian form with the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & I_2 & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & I_k \end{pmatrix},$$

where I_i is a $n_i \times n_i$-matrix, and I_i is identity matrix if $n_i \neq 2$ and $I_i = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ if $n_i = 2$.
Let p be odd. Consider the matrix $D = \frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1+\alpha & 1-\alpha \\ 1-\alpha & 1+\alpha \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, where $\alpha \in F_{q^2}$ is that $\alpha\overline{\alpha} = -1$ (such α always exists in characteristic not equal to 2; if θ is a generating element of F_{q^2} then $\alpha = \theta^{\frac{q-1}{2}}$). It is routine to check that $D\overline{D}^t = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. So, if denote by $\mathbf{v} = \{v_1, v_2\}$ and $\mathbf{w} = \{w_1, w_2\}$ the basises of $GU_2(q)$ with respect to hermitian forms with matrices $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ respectively then D is the transition matrix from the basis $\mathbf{v}$ to the basis $\mathbf{w}$. Denote by S the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} S_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & S_2 & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & S_k \end{pmatrix},$$

where S_i is $n_i \times n_i$-matrix and it is equal to the identity matrix if $n_i \neq 2$ and equal to D if $n_i = 2$. Recall that z stands for the permutation matrix $(1, 2, \dots, n)$. This matrix is unitary with respect to a hermitian form with identity matrix. It follows, as easy to see, that $z' = Sz(S^{-1})$ lies in G.
Consider now $g \in K \cap H^{z'}$. If $n_1 > 2$ then matrix $g' = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} \in Sin_{n_1}^{\epsilon}(q) \rtimes < \varphi_1 >$. Thus g' normalizes $Sin_{n_1}^{\epsilon}(q)$. Let T be a generating element of $Sin_{n_1}^{\epsilon}(q)$ then as was mentioned above $T - T^{g'}$ is a degenerate matrix.
If $\epsilon = -$ and n_1 is odd than $T - T^{g'} \in Sin_{n_1}(q^2) \cup \{0\}$, thus $T - T^{g'} = 0$ and $T = T^{g'}$.
If $\epsilon = -$ and n_1 is even than $T - T^{g'} \in Sin_{n_1/2}(q^2) \times Sin_{n_1/2}(q^2) \cup \{0\}$, and by the structure of $Sin_n^-(q)$ described in the introduction if $T - T^{g'}$ is a degenerate matrix then $T - T^{g'} = 0$ and $T = T^{g'}$. Then we have $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, so g' is a scalar matrix. The same arguments work for all $n_i > 2; i = 1 \dots k$, so α_i equals α_{i+1} if $n_i > 2$.
Let now $n_1 = 2$. Then $g' = S\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right) S^{-1} \in Sin_{n_1}^{\epsilon}(q) \rtimes < \varphi_1 >$. Calculations show that

$$g' = 1/4 \begin{pmatrix} (1+\alpha)(1-\overline{\alpha})\alpha_1 + (1+\overline{\alpha})(1-\alpha)\alpha_2 & (1+\overline{\alpha})(1+\alpha)\alpha_1 + (1-\alpha)(1-\overline{\alpha})\alpha_2 \\ (1-\alpha)(1-\overline{\alpha})\alpha_1 + (1+\alpha)(1+\overline{\alpha})\alpha_2 & (1-\alpha)(1+\overline{\alpha})\alpha_1 + (1+\alpha)(1-\overline{\alpha})\alpha_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let θ be a generating element of F_{q^2}, consider the matrix $U = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \theta & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{\theta}^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Without loss of generality we can assume that

$$\mathrm{Sin}_2^-(q) \rtimes \langle \varphi_1 \rangle = \langle U \rangle \rtimes \langle \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \rangle.$$

If $g' \in \mathrm{Sin}_2^-(q)$ then the element $(1+\overline{\alpha})(1+\alpha)\alpha_1 + (1-\alpha)(1-\overline{\alpha})\alpha_2 = (\overline{\alpha}+\alpha)\alpha_1 - (\overline{\alpha}+\alpha)\alpha_2$ must be equal to 0. The element $(\overline{\alpha}+\alpha)$ can not be equal to 0 because then $\overline{\alpha}\alpha = -\alpha^2$ and $\alpha^2 = 1$, but $\alpha^2 = (\theta^{\frac{q-1}{2}}) = \theta^{q-1} \neq 1$. So, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$.
If $g' \in \mathrm{Sin}_2^-(q) \rtimes \langle\varphi_1\rangle \backslash \mathrm{Sin}_2^-(q)$ then the diagonal elements of matrix g' are equal to 0.

$$\begin{cases} (2+\alpha-\overline{\alpha})\alpha_1 + (2-\alpha+\overline{\alpha})\alpha_2 = 0 \\ (2-\alpha+\overline{\alpha})\alpha_1 + (2+\alpha-\overline{\alpha})\alpha_2 = 0 \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

Take a sum of that two equations and get $4\alpha_1+4\alpha_2 = 0$. So $\alpha_1 = -\alpha_2$. Substituting this solution in the first equation of the system (3.7) we obtain that $\overline{\alpha}+\alpha = 0$ but, as we already know, this is not true. Therefore the system (3.7) does not have solutions and g' is not an element from $\mathrm{Sin}_2^-(q) \rtimes \langle\varphi_1\rangle \backslash \mathrm{Sin}_2^-(q)$.
The same arguments show that for all $n_i = 2; i = 1 \dots k$ α_i equals α_{i+1}. This yields that $\alpha_i = \alpha_j$ for all $i, j = 1 \dots k$, and we obtain that g is a scalar matrix.
Now, let $p = 2$. The proof is the similar as proof when p is add with different matrix D. In this case

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\beta+1} & \frac{\beta}{\beta+1} \\ \frac{\beta}{\beta+1} & \frac{1}{\beta+1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where β is an element of F_{q^2} such that $\beta\overline{\beta} = 1$ (such element always exist, for example if $\beta = \theta^{q-1}$ then $\beta\overline{\beta} = \theta^{q-1}\theta^{q^2-q} = \theta^{q^2-1} = 1$). The proof that if $n_i > 2$ then $\alpha_i = \alpha_{i+1}$ is just the same as in case of odd p. Assume $n_1 = 2$ then $g' = S\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right) S^{-1} \in Sin_{n_1}^-(q) \rtimes \langle\varphi_1\rangle$. Calculations show that

$$g' = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\overline{\beta}\alpha_1+\beta\alpha_2}{\overline{\beta}+\beta} & \frac{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}{\overline{\beta}+\beta} \\ \frac{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}{\overline{\beta}+\beta} & \frac{\beta\alpha_1+\overline{\beta}\alpha_2}{\overline{\beta}+\beta} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We still assume that

$$\mathrm{Sin}_2^-(q) \rtimes \langle\varphi_1\rangle = \langle U\rangle \rtimes \langle\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)\rangle.$$

So, if $g' \in \mathrm{Sin}_2^-(q)$ then obviously $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$. Let g' be an element of the set $\mathrm{Sin}_2^-(q) \rtimes \langle\varphi_1\rangle \backslash \mathrm{Sin}_2^-(q)$ then

$$\begin{cases} \overline{\beta}\alpha_1 + \beta\alpha_2 = 0 \\ \beta\alpha_1 + \overline{\beta}\alpha_2 = 0 \end{cases} \tag{3.8}$$

From the first equation we obtain that $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1\beta^{q-1}$ and using the first equation we obtain that $\beta^{q-1} = 1$. That is a contradiction. The same arguments show that for all $n_i = 2; i = 1 \dots k$ α_i equals α_{i+1}. This yields that $\alpha_i = \alpha_j$ for all $i, j = 1 \dots k$, and we obtain that g is a scalar matrix. □

4 Proof of Theorem 6

Let $G = GL_n(q) \rtimes \langle\tau\rangle$ where $q = 2$ or $q = 3$, n is even, τ is an automorphism which acts by $\tau : A \mapsto (A^{-1})^T$ for $A \in GL_n(q)$. Let H be the normalizer in G of subgroup $P \leq GL_n(q)$, where

P is the stabilizer of the chain of subspaces:

$$\langle v_n, v_{n-1}\rangle < \langle v_n, v_{n-1}, v_{n-2}, v_{n-3}\rangle < \ldots < \langle v_n, v_{n-1}, \ldots, v_2, v_1\rangle.$$

$$P \sim \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * & * \\ & & * & * & * & * \\ & & * & * & * & * \\ & \mathbf{0} & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & & & * & * \\ & & & & * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

with $GL_2(q)$-boxes on the diagonal in the basis $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$. Since $P = N_{GL_n(q)}(P)$, we obtain that $H \cap GL_n(q) = P$. Consider the intersection

$$K = H \cap H^x \cap (H \cap H^x)^y,$$

where x and y are permutation matrices corresponding to permutations $(1,n)(2,n-1)\ldots(n/2, n/2+1)$ and $(1,2,\ldots,n)$ respectively. If $g \in P \cap P^x \cap (P \cap P^x)^y$ it is clear that g is diagonal. Indeed, the group $P \cap HP^x$ stabilize subspaces

$$\langle v_n, v_{n-1}\rangle, \langle v_{n-2}, v_{n-3}\rangle, \ldots, \langle v_2, v_1\rangle.$$

Meanwhile, the group $(P \cap P^x)^y$ stabilize subspaces

$$\langle v_1, v_n\rangle, \langle v_{n-1}, v_{n-2}\rangle, \ldots, \langle v_3, v_2\rangle.$$

So, $GL_n(q) \cap H \cap H^x \cap (H \cap H^x)^y$ stabilize $\langle v_i\rangle$ for $i \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$. Obviously, τ is not in H because it does not normalize P. Let $g\tau$ be an element of K.
Then $g\tau$ have to normalize P. If $P^{g\tau} = P$ then $P^g = P^\tau = P^T$, so g maps upper triangular matrix to lower triangular matrix.We know that x also maps upper triangular matrix to lower triangular matrix thus $P^{gx} = P$ and gx lies in $N_{GL_n(q)}(P)$ furthermore $gx \in P$ because P is selfnomalizing in $GL_n(q)$. It means that $g \in Px$ and

$$g \sim \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & & \\ * & * & * & * & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \iddots & & \mathbf{0} & \\ * & * & & & & \\ * & * & & & & \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.1}$$

Also $g\tau$ must normalize $P \cap P^x$ because $g\tau \in H \cap H^x$. It is easy to see that τ normalizes $P \cap P^x$ therefore $g \in N_{GL_n(q)}(P \cap P^x) = GL_2(q) \wr S_{n/2}$. From these and (4.1) we obtain

$$g \sim \begin{pmatrix} & & & & * & * \\ & 0 & & & * & * \\ & & * & * & & \\ & & * & * & & \\ & & \ddots & & 0 & \\ * & * & & & & \\ * & * & & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

In the same way $g\tau$ normalizes the intersection

$$P \cap P^x \cap P^y \sim \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 & & & & & & & \\ * & * & & & & & & & \\ & & * & * & & & & & \\ & & 0 & * & & & & & \\ & & & & * & * & & & \\ & & & & 0 & * & & & \\ & & & & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & & & & * & * \\ & & & & & & & 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$$

and τ move zero to the opposite corner of matrix in each box so g does. Thus

$$g \sim \begin{pmatrix} & & & & & & 0 & * \\ & & & & & & * & * \\ & & & & * & * & & \\ & & & & * & 0 & & \\ & & * & * & & & & \\ & & * & 0 & & & & \\ & \iddots & & & & & & \\ * & * & & & & & & \\ * & 0 & & & & & & \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.2}$$

Similarly $g\tau$ normalizes $P^x \cap P^{xy} \cap P$ and we get

$$g \sim \begin{pmatrix} & & & & & & * & * \\ & & & & & & * & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & * & & \\ & & & & * & * & & \\ & & 0 & * & & & & \\ & & * & * & & & & \\ & \iddots & & & & & & \\ 0 & * & & & & & & \\ * & * & & & & & & \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.3}$$

From (4.2) and (4.3) we obtain that g is secondary diagonal matrix. The same arguments show that if $h\tau \in H \cap H^x \cap H^{y^{-1}} \cap H^{xy^{-1}}$ and $h \in GL_n(q)$ then h is secondary diagonal. Now we have

$$(g\tau)^{y^{-1}} = g^{y^{-1}}\tau \in K^{y^{-1}} = H \cap H^x \cap H^{y^{-1}} \cap H^{xy^{-1}}$$

and $q^{y^{-1}}$ have to be secondary diagonal but it is easy to see that if $g = secdiag(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ then

$$g^{y^{-1}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \alpha_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ldots & 0 & \alpha_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & \iddots & & & & \\ & \iddots & & & & & \\ \alpha_{n-1} & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 0 & \alpha_n \\ 0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 0 & \alpha_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

which is not secondary diagonal matrix thus K is a subgroup of group of diagonal matrices. Thus in case when $q = 2$ we have $b_H(G) \leq 4$.

Let $q = 3$. There is z such that H^z stabilises $\langle u_1 = v_1 + v_2 + v_5 + \ldots + v_n, u_2 = v_1 + v_3 + v_4 + \ldots + v_n \rangle$. In other words, $v_n^z = u_1$, $v_{n-1}^z = u_2$. Here $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ is the initial basis. Consider g from $H \cap H^x \cap H^y \cap H^{xy} \cap H^z$. The element g is a diagonal matrix in the initial basis because it lies in K, so $g = diag\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$. Then

$$u_1^g = \alpha_1 v_1 + \alpha_2 v_2 + \alpha_5 v_5 + \ldots + \alpha_n v_n.$$

Since u_1^g does not involve v_3, we obtain that $u_1^g = \alpha u_1 + 0 \cdot u_2$ i.e. u_1^g is equal to αu_1 for appropriate α. Hence $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_5 = \ldots = \alpha_n$. Also,

$$u_2^g = \alpha_1 v_1 + 2\alpha_3 v_3 + \alpha_4 v_4 + \ldots + \alpha_n v_n.$$

Since u_2^g does not involve v_2, we obtain that $u_2^g = 0 \cdot u_1 + \beta u_2$ i.e. u_2^g is equal to βu_2 for appropriate β. Hence $\alpha_1 = \alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = \ldots = \alpha_n$. Suchwise we have $H \cap H^x \cap H^y \cap H^{xy} \cap H^z \leq Z(G)$. Consider $z_1 \in G$ such that $v_n^{z_1} = v_3 + v_1 + v_5 + \ldots + v_n$, $v_{n-1}^{z_1} = v_1 + v_2 + v_4 + v_5 + \ldots + v_n$. It is easy to see that $H \cap H^x \cap H^y \cap H^{xy} \cap H^{z_1} \leq Z(G)$. The points (H, Hx, Hy, Hxy, Hz) and (H, Hx, Hy, Hxy, Hz_1) lies in the same orbit of Ω^5, where Ω is the set of all right cosets of H, if and only if there is an element $t \in G$ such that $t \in H \cap H^x \cap H^y \cap H^{xy} \cap z^{-1}Hz_1$. So, in this case t muct be diagonal matrix. Let $t = diag\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_n\}$. Since $t \in z^{-1}Hz_1$ we have $t = z^{-1}hz_1$ for some $h \in H$. Consider $u_1^t = u_1^{z^{-1}hz_1} = v_n^{hz_1} = (\delta v_n + \gamma v_{n-1})^{z_1} = \delta v_1 + \delta v_3 + \gamma v_1 + \gamma v_2 + \gamma v_4 + (\delta + \gamma)v_5 + \ldots + (\delta + \gamma)v_n$. Since t is diagonal we obtain that $\delta v_3 = 0$ and $\gamma v_4 = 0$ then $\delta = \gamma = 0$ and $t = 0$ which contradicts the assumption, so points (H, Hx, Hy, Hxy, Hz) and (H, Hx, Hy, Hxy, Hz_1) lies in distinct orbits.

The same argument shows that points

$$(H, Hx, Hy, Hxy, Hz);$$
$$(H, Hx, Hy, Hxy, Hz_1);$$
$$(H, Hx, Hy, Hxy, Hz_2);$$
$$(H, Hx, Hy, Hxy, Hz_3);$$
$$(H, Hx, Hy, Hxy, Hz_4);$$

lies in the distinct five orbits. Where

$$\begin{aligned} v_n^{z_2} &= v_1 + v_4; \\ v_{n-1}^{z_2} &= v_1 + v_2 + v_3; \\ v_n^{z_3} &= v_2 + v_3; \\ v_{n-1}^{z_3} &= v_1 + v_2 + v_4; \\ v_n^{z_4} &= v_2 + v_4; \\ v_{n-1}^{z_4} &= v_1 + v_2 + v_3. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $Reg_H(G,5) \geq 5$.

REFERENCES

[1] A.A. Baykalov, *Intersection of conjugate solvable subgroups in symmetric groups*, to appear. See also http://arxiv.org/abs/1701.04231

[2] A. Borel, R. Carter, C. W. Curtis, N. Iwahori T. A. Springer, R. Steinberg *Seminar on Algebraic Groups and Related Finite Groups*

[3] Timothy C. Burness *Fixed point ratios in actions of finite classical groups, I* Journal of Algebra 309 (2007) 69–79

[4] Timothy C. Burness *Fixed point ratios in actions of finite classical groups, II* Journal of Algebra 309 (2007) 80–138

[5] Timothy C. Burness *Fixed point ratios in actions of finite classical groups, III* Journal of Algebra 314 (2007) 693–748

[6] Timothy C. Burness *Fixed point ratios in actions of finite classical groups, IV* Journal of Algebra 309 (2007) 749–788

[7] Timothy C. Burness *On base sizes for actions of finite classical groups* J. London Math. Soc. (2) 75 (2007) 545–562

[8] R.W. Carter *Finite groups of Lie type: Conjugacy Classes and Complex Characters*

[9] Victor D. Mazurov and Evgeny I. Khukhro *Unsolved problems in group theory. The Kourovka notebook* No 18. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/1401.0300

[10] A. Previtali, M. C. Tamburini and E.P. Vdovin *The Carter subgroups of some classical groups* Bull. London Math. Soc. 36 (2004) 145–155

[11] E. P. Vdovin, *On the base size of a transitive group with solvable point stabilizer* Journal of Algebra and Application, v. **11** (2012), N 1, 1250015 (14 pages)

[12] E. P. Vdovin, *On intersections of solvable Hall subgroups in finite simple exceptional groups of Lie type* (Russian) Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. (2014) 285(Suppl 1): 183–190

[13] V. I. Zenkov, *Intersections of abelian subgroups in finite groups* (Russian) Mat. Zametki 56 (1994), no. 2, 150–152; translation in Math. Notes 56 (1994), no. 1–2, 869–871 (1995)

[14] The GAP Group, GAP – groups, algorithms, and programming, Version 4.7.8 of 09-Jun-2015 (free software, GPL) http://www.gap-system.org